arXiv:1504.02256v1 [math.AP] 9 Apr 2015

THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM IN A CLASS OF GENERALIZED WEIGHTED SPACES

VAGIF S. GULIYEV, MEHRIBAN OMAROVA,
AND LUBOMIRA G. SOFTOVA

Abstract. We show continuity in generalized weighted Morrey spaces $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$ of sub-linear integral operators generated by some classical integral operators and commutators. The obtained estimates are used to study global regularity of the solution of the Dirichlet problem for linear uniformly elliptic operators with discontinuous data.

1. Introduction

In the present work we study the global regularity in *generalized weighted Morrey spaces* $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$ of the solutions of a class of elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs). Recall that the classical *Morrey spaces* $L_{p,\lambda}$ were introduced by Morrey in [34] in order to study the local Hölder regularity of the solutions of elliptic systems. In [5] Chiarenza and Frasca show boundedness in $L_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator* $\mathcal{M}$ and the Calderón-Zygmund operator $\mathcal{K}$

$$\mathcal{M}f(x) = \sup_{\mathcal{B}(x)} \int_{\mathcal{B}(x)} |f(y)|\, dy\,, \quad \mathcal{K}f(x) = P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^n}\, dy\,.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J25; Secondary 35B40, 42B20, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. Generalized weighted Morrey spaces; Muckenhoupt weight; sub-linear integrals; Calderón-Zygmund integrals; commutators; BMO; VMO; elliptic equations; Dirichlet problem.

Integral operators of that kind appear in the representation formulae of the solutions of various PDEs. Thus the continuity of the Calderón-Zygmund integral in certain functional space permit to study the regularity of the solutions of boundary value problems for linear PDEs in the corresponding space.

In [33] Mizuhara extended the definition of $L_{p,\lambda}$ taking a *non-negative measurable function* $\phi(x,r):\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ instead of *the Morrey weight* r^λ in the definition of $L_{p,\lambda}$. Precisely, $f\in L_{p,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $f\in L_p^{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p\in[1,\infty)$ and

$$\|f\|_{p,\phi}=\sup_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)}\left(\frac{1}{\phi(x,r)}\int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)}|f(y)|^p\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty$$

and the supremo is taken over all balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Later Nakai extended the results of Chiarenza and Frasca to the case of $L_{p,\phi}$. Imposing the next integral and doubling conditions on ϕ (see [35])

$$\kappa_1^{-1}\le\frac{\phi(x_0,t)}{\phi(x_0,r)}\le\kappa_1,\quad r\le t\le 2r,$$
$$\int_r^\infty\frac{\phi(x_0,t)}{t^{n+1}}dt\le\kappa_2\,\frac{\phi(x_0,r)}{r^n}$$

he proved boundedness of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{K}$

$$\|\mathcal{M}f\|_{p,\phi}\le C\|f\|_{p,\phi},\qquad \|\mathcal{K}f\|_{p,\phi}\le C\|f\|_{p,\phi}$$

for all $f\in L_{p,\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $p\ge1$.

The next extension of the Morrey spaces is given by the first author. He defined generalized Morrey spaces $M_{p,\varphi}$ with normalized norm under more general condition on the weight $\varphi:\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}_+\to\mathbb{R}_+$ and considered continuity of various classical integral operators from one space M_{p,φ_1} to another M_{p,φ_2} under suitable condition on the pair (φ_1,φ_2). In [11] (see also [12, 13]) it is shown that if

$$\int_r^\infty\varphi_1(x,t)\frac{dt}{t}\le C\,\varphi_2(x,r)\tag{1.1}$$

then the operator $\mathcal{K}$ is bounded from M_{p,φ_1} to M_{p,φ_2} for $p > 1$ and from M_{1,φ_1} to the weak space WM_{1,φ_2}. In [2, 19], Guliyev et al. introduced a weaker condition on the pair (φ_1, φ_2) under which boundedness of the classical integral operators from M_{p,φ_1} to M_{p,φ_2} is proved. Precisely, if

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \varphi_1(x,s) s^{\frac{n}{p}}}{t^{\frac{n}{p}}} \frac{dt}{t} \leq C\, \varphi_2(x,r), \tag{1.2}$$

then $\mathcal{K}$ is bounded from M_{p,φ_1} to another M_{p,φ_2} for $p > 1$ and from M_{1,φ_1} to the weak space WM_{1,φ_2}. Let us note that the condition (1.1) describes wider class of weight functions than (1.2) (see [16]).

For more recent results on boundedness and continuity of singular integral operators in generalized Morrey and new functional spaces and their application in the theory of the differential equations see [2, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23, 36, 39, 40] and the references therein.

Consider now the weighted L_p-spaces $L_{p,w}$ consisting of measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{p,w} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^p w(y)\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In [30] Muckenhoupt showed that the well known maximal inequality holds in $L_{p,w}$ if and only if the weight w satisfies certain integral condition called A_p-*condition.* Later, Coifman and Fefferman [8] studied the continuity of some classical singular integrals in the *Muckenhoupt spaces* (see also [31, 32]).

Recently, Komori and Shirai [28] defined the weighted Morrey spaces $L_{p,\kappa}(w)$ endowed by the norm

$$\|f\|_{p,w,k} = \sup_{\mathcal{B}} \left(\frac{1}{w(\mathcal{B})^k} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |f(y)|^p w(y)\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

They studied the boundedness of the Calderón-Zygmund operator $\mathcal{K}$ in these spaces. A natural extension of their results are the generalized weighted Morrey spaces $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$ with $w \in A_p$ and φ satisfying (1.1).

In [16] (see also [20, 21]) it is proved boundedness in $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$ of sublinear operators generated by classical operators as $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{K}$, the Riesz potential and others, covering such way the results obtained in [35] and [28]. Our goal here is to obtain a priori estimate for the solution of the Dirichlet problem for linear elliptic equations in these spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. We begin introducing the functional spaces that we are going to use. In Sections 3 and 4 we study continuity in the spaces $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$ of certain sub-linear integrals and their commutators with functions with bounded mean oscillation. These results permit to obtain continuity of the Calderón-Zygmund operator, with bounded functions and some nonsingular integrals which is done in Section 6. The last section is dedicated to the Dirichlet problem for linear elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients. This problem is firstly studied by Chiarenza, Frasca and Longo. In their pioneer works [6, 7] they prove unique strong solvability of

$$(1.3) \qquad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}u \equiv a^{ij}(x)D_{ij}u = f(x) \qquad \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega, \\ u \in \ W_p^2(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}{}_p^1(\Omega), \ p \in (1,\infty), \ a^{ij} \in VMO \end{cases}$$

extending this way the classical theory of operators with continuous coefficients to those with discontinuous coefficients. Later their results have been extended in the Sobolev-Morrey spaces $W^2_{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}{}^1_p(\Omega)$, $\lambda \in (1,n)$ (see [9]) and the generalized Sobolev-Morrey spaces $W^2_{p,\phi}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}{}^1_p(\Omega)$ (see [40]) with ϕ as in [35]. In [22] we have studied the regularity of the solution of (1.3) in generalized Sobolev-Morrey spaces $W^2_{p,\varphi}(\Omega)$ where the weight function φ satisfies a certain supremal condition derived from (1.2). We show that $\mathcal{L}u \in M_{p,\varphi}(\Omega)$ implies $D_{ij}u \in M_{p,\varphi}(\Omega)$ satisfying the estimate

$$\|D^2u\|_{p,\varphi;\Omega} \le C\big(\|\mathcal{L}u\|_{p,\varphi;\Omega} + \|u\|_{p,\varphi;\Omega}\big)\,.$$

These studies are extended on divergence form elliptic/parabolic equations in [3, 24].

In this paper we use the following notions:

$D_i u = \partial u/\partial x_i,\ Du = (D_1 u, \dots, D_n u)$ means the gradient of u,

$D_{ij} u = \partial^2 u/\partial x_i \partial x_j,\ D^2 u = \{D_{ij} u\}_{ij=1}^n$ means the Hessian matrix of u,

$\mathcal{B}_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n :\ |x - x_0| < r\}$ is a ball centered at a fixed point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$\mathcal{B}_r(x) \equiv \mathcal{B}_r \equiv \mathcal{B}$ is a ball centered at any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\ |\mathcal{B}_r| = Cr^n$,

$\mathcal{B}_r^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathcal{B}_r, \quad 2\mathcal{B}_r = \mathcal{B}_{2r}$,

$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n :\ |y - x| = 1\}$ is a unit sphere at $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered in $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n :\ x_n > 0\}$.

For any measurable set A and $f \in L_p(A)$, $1 < p < \infty$ we write

$$\|f\|_{L_p(A)} = \|f\|_{p;A} = \left(\int_A |f(y)|^p \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|\cdot\|_{p;\mathbb{R}^n} \equiv \|\cdot\|_p .$$

The standard summation convention on repeated upper and lower indices is adopted. The letter C is used for various positive constants and may change from one occurrence to another.

2. Weighted spaces

We start with the definitions of some function spaces that we are going to use.

Definition 2.1. *(see* [26, 37]*) Let $a \in L_1^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a_{\mathcal{B}_r} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_r|} \int_{\mathcal{B}_r} a(x)\, dx$. Define*

$$\gamma_a(R) = \sup_{r \le R} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}_r|} \int_{\mathcal{B}_r} |a(y) - a_{\mathcal{B}_r}| \, dy \qquad \forall\ R > 0.$$

We say that $a \in BMO$ (bounded mean oscillation) if

$$\|a\|_* = \sup_{R>0} \gamma_a(R) < +\infty.$$

The quantity $\|a\|_*$ is a norm in BMO modulo constant functions under which BMO is a Banach space. If

$$\lim_{R \to 0} \gamma_a(R) = 0$$

then $a \in VMO$ *(vanishing mean oscillation) and we call* $\gamma_a(R)$ *a* VMO*-modulus of* a.

For any bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *we define* $BMO(\Omega)$ *and* $VMO(\Omega)$ *taking* $a \in L_1(\Omega)$ *and integrating over* $\Omega_r = \Omega \cap \mathcal{B}_r$.

According to [1], having a function $a \in BMO(\Omega)$ or $VMO(\Omega)$ it is possible to extend it in the whole space preserving its BMO-norm or VMO-modulus, respectively. In the following we use this extension without explicit references.

Lemma 2.1. (John-Nirenberg lemma, [26]) *Let* $a \in BMO$ *and* $p \in (1, \infty)$*. Then for any ball* $\mathcal{B}$ *holds*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |a(y) - a_{\mathcal{B}}|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C(p) \|a\|_*.$$

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 we get the next property.

Corollary 2.1. *Let* $a \in BMO$ *then for all* $0 < 2r < t$ *holds*

$$\left| a_{\mathcal{B}_r} - a_{\mathcal{B}_t} \right| \le C \|a\|_* \ln \frac{t}{r} \tag{2.1}$$

where the constant is independent of a, x, t *and* r.

We call *weight* a non-negative locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Given a weight w and a measurable set $\mathcal{E}$ we denote the w-measure of $\mathcal{E}$ by

$$w(\mathcal{E}) = \int_{\mathcal{E}} w(x)\, dx\,.$$

Denote by $L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $L_{p,w}$ the weighted L_p spaces. It turns out that the strong type (p, p) inequality

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{M} f(x))^p w(x)\, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w(x)\, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

holds for all $f \in L_{p,w}$ if and only if the weight function satisfies the *Muckenhoupt* A_p-condition

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_{\mathcal{B}} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} w(x)\, dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\, dx \right)^{p-1} < \infty\,. \tag{2.2}$$

The expression $[w]_{A_p}$ is called *characteristic constant* of w. The function w is A_1 weight if $\mathcal{M}w(x) \le C_1 w(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The minimal constant C_1 for which the inequality holds is the A_1 *characteristic constant* of w.

We summarize some basic properties of the A_p weights in the next lemma (see [10, 30] for more details).

Lemma 2.2. *(1) Let $w \in A_p$ for $1 \le p < \infty$. Then for each $\mathcal{B}$*

$$1 \le [w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p(\mathcal{B})} = |\mathcal{B}|^{-1} \|w\|^{\frac{1}{p}}_{L_1(\mathcal{B})} \|w^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|_{L_{p'}(\mathcal{B})} \le [w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p} . \tag{2.3}$$

(2) The function $w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ is in $A_{p'}$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, $1 < p < \infty$ with characteristic constant

$$[w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_{p'}} = [w]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}_{A_p} .$$

(3) The classes A_p are increasing as p increases and

$$[w]_{A_q} \le [w]_{A_p}, \qquad 1 \le q < p < \infty .$$

(4) The measure $w(x)dx$ is doubling, precisely, for all $\lambda > 1$

$$w(\lambda\mathcal{B}) \le \lambda^{np} [w]_{A_p} w(\mathcal{B}) .$$

(5) If $w \in A_p$ for some $1 \le p \le \infty$, then there exist $C > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for any ball $\mathcal{B}$ and a measurable set $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{B}$,

$$\frac{1}{[w]_{A_p}} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{|\mathcal{B}|} \right) \le \frac{w(\mathcal{E})}{w(\mathcal{B})} \le C \left(\frac{|\mathcal{E}|}{|\mathcal{B}|} \right)^{\delta} .$$

(6) For each $1 \le p < \infty$ we have

$$\bigcup_{1 \le p < \infty} A_p = A_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad [w]_{A_\infty} \le [w]_{A_p} .$$

(7) For each $a \in BMO$, $1 \le p < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty$ we have

$$\|a\|_* = C \sup_{\mathcal{B}} \left(\frac{1}{w(\mathcal{B})} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |a(y) - a_{\mathcal{B}}|^p w(y)\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} . \tag{2.4}$$

The next result follows from [16, Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $w \in A_p$ *with* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $a \in BMO$*. Then*

$$\Big(\frac{1}{w^{1-p'}(\mathcal{B})}\int_{\mathcal{B}} |a(y)-a_{\mathcal{B}}|^{p'} w(y)^{1-p'}\,dy\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|a\|_*, \tag{2.5}$$

where C *is independent of* a*,* w *and* $\mathcal{B}$*.*

Definition 2.2. *Let* $\varphi(x,r)$ *be weight in* $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ *and* $w \in A_p$*,* $p \in [1,\infty)$*. The generalized weighted Morrey space* $M_{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$ *or* $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$ *consists of all functions* $f \in L^{\rm loc}_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *such that*

$$\|f\|_{p,\varphi,w} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n, r>0} \varphi(x,r)^{-1}\left(w(\mathcal{B}_r(x))^{-1}\int_{\mathcal{B}_r(x)} |f(y)|^p w(y)\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty\,.$$

For any bounded domain Ω *we define* $M_{p,\varphi}(\Omega, w)$ *taking* $f \in L_{p,w}(\Omega)$ *and integrating over* $\Omega_r = \Omega \cap \mathcal{B}_r(x), x \in \Omega$*.*

Generalized Sobolev-Morrey space $W^2_{p,\varphi}(\Omega, w)$ *consists of all functions* $u \in W^2_{p.w}(\Omega)$ *with distributional derivatives* $D^s u \in M_{p,\varphi}(\Omega, w)$*,* $0 \le |s| \le 2$ *endowed by the norm*

$$\|u\|_{W^2_{p,\varphi}(\Omega,w)} = \sum_{0\le|s|\le 2} \|D^s f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega}.$$

The space $W^2_{p,\varphi}(\Omega, w) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}{}^1_p(\Omega, w)$ *consists of all functions* $u \in W^2_{p,w}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}{}^1_{p,w}(\Omega)$ *with* $D^s u \in M_{p,\varphi}(\Omega, w)$*,* $0 \le |s| \le 2$ *and is endowed by the same norm. Recall that* $\overset{\circ}{W}{}^1_{p,w}(\Omega)$ *is the closure of* $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ *with respect to the norm in* $W^1_{p,w}(\Omega)$*.*

Remark 2.1. The density of the C_0^∞ functions in the weighted Lebesgue space $L_{p,w}$ is proved in [38, Chapter 3, Theorem 3.11].

3. SUBLINEAR OPERATORS GENERATED BY SINGULAR INTEGRALS IN $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$

Let T be a sub-linear operator. Suppose that T satisfy

$$|Tf(x)| \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n}\,dy \tag{3.1}$$

for any $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and $x \notin {\rm supp} f$.

The next results generalize some estimates obtained in [11, 13, 19, 20, 21]. The proof is as in [19] and makes use of the boundedness of the weighted Hardy operator

$$H^*_\psi g(r) := \int_r^\infty g(t)\psi(t)\,dt, \qquad 0 < r < \infty\,.$$

Theorem 3.1. ([14, 15]) *Suppose that* v_1, v_2, *and* ψ *are weights on* $\mathbb{R}_+$. *Then the inequality*

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{r>0} v_2(r) H^*_\psi g(r) \le C \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{r>0} v_1(r) g(r) \tag{3.2}$$

holds with some $C > 0$ *for all non-negative and nondecreasing* g *on* $\mathbb{R}_+$ *if and only if*

$$B := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{r>0} v_2(r) \int_r^\infty \frac{\psi(t)}{\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t<s<\infty} v_1(s)}\,dt < \infty \tag{3.3}$$

and $C = B$ *is the best constant in* (3.2).

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p$ *and the pair* (φ_1, φ_2) *satisfy*

$$\int_r^\infty \frac{\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \varphi_1(x,s) w(\mathcal{B}_s(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{w(\mathcal{B}_t(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{dt}{t} \le C\,\varphi_2(x,r), \tag{3.4}$$

and T *be a sub-linear operator satisfying* (3.1). *If* T *is bounded on* $L_{p,w}$ *and* $\|Tf\|_{p,w} \le C[w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p} \|f\|_{p,w}$, *then* T *is bounded from* $M_{p,\varphi_1}(w)$ *to* $M_{p,\varphi_2}(w)$ *and*

$$\|Tf\|_{p,\varphi_2,w} \le C[w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p} \|f\|_{p,\varphi_1,w} \tag{3.5}$$

with a constant independent of f.

For any $a \in BMO$ consider the commutator $T_a f = aTf - T(af)$. Let T_a be a sub-linear operator satisfying

$$|T_a f(x)| \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |a(x) - a(y)| \frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^n}\,dy \tag{3.6}$$

for any $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with a compact support and $x \notin \operatorname{supp} f$. Suppose in addition that T_a is bounded in $L_{p,w}$ and satisfies $\|T_a f\|_{p,w} \le$

$C\|a\|_*[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w}$. Then the next result is valid and the prood is as in [19], making use of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* $p \in (1,\infty)$, $w \in A_p$, $a \in BMO$ *and the pair* (φ_1, φ_2) *satisfy*

$$\int_r^\infty \left(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\right) \frac{\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \varphi_1(x,s) w(\mathcal{B}_s(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{w(\mathcal{B}_t(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{dt}{t} \le C\,\varphi_2(x,r) \tag{3.7}$$

with a constant independent on x *and* r. *Suppose that* T_a *is bounded in* $L_{p,w}$ *and satisfies* (3.6). *Then* T_a *is bounded from* $M_{p,\varphi_1}(w)$ *to* $M_{p,\varphi_2}(w)$ *and*

$$\|T_a f\|_{p,\varphi_2,w} \le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|a\|_*\|f\|_{p,\varphi_1,w}\,. \tag{3.8}$$

4. SUBLINEAR OPERATORS GENERATED BY NONSINGULAR INTEGRALS IN $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ define $\tilde{x} = (x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},-x_n)$. Let $\widetilde{T}$ be a sublinear operator with a nonsingular kernel. Suppose that $\widetilde{T}$ satisfy the condition

$$|\widetilde{T}f(x)| \le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \frac{|f(y)|}{|\tilde{x}-y|^n}\,dy \tag{4.1}$$

for any $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ with a compact support.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $w \in A_p$, $p \in (1,\infty)$, *the operator* $\widetilde{T}$ *satisfy* (4.1) *and* $\widetilde{T}$ *is bounded on* $L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. *Let also for any fixed* $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ *and for any* $f \in L^{\rm loc}_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$

$$\int_r^\infty w(\mathcal{B}^+_t(x_0))^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_t(x_0)}\frac{dt}{t} < \infty\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Then

(4.3)

$$\|\widetilde{T}f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0)} \le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\,w(\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\int_{2r}^\infty w(\mathcal{B}^+_t(x_0))^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_t(x_0)}\frac{dt}{t}$$

with a constant independent of x_0, r, *and* f.

Proof. Consider the decomposition $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 = f\chi_{2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}$ and $f_2 = f\chi_{(2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^c}$. Because of the boundedness of $\widetilde{T}$ in $L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ we have as in [22]

$$\|\widetilde{T}f_1\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} \leq C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w;2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}\,.$$

Since for any $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)$ and $y \in (2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^c$ it holds

$$\frac{1}{2}|x_0 - y| \leq |\tilde{x} - y| \leq \frac{3}{2}|x_0 - y|. \tag{4.4}$$

we get as in [22]

$$|\widetilde{T}f_2(x)| \leq C\int_{2r}^{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}|f(y)|dy\right)\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}.$$

Making use of the Hölder inequality and (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\widetilde{T}f_2(x)| &\leq C\int_{2r}^{\infty}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\,\|w^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|_{p';\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\,\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}\\ &\leq C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\int_{2r}^{\infty} w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\,\frac{dt}{t}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Direct calculations give

$$\|\widetilde{T}f_2\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} \leq C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\,w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\int_{2r}^{\infty}\frac{\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}}{w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}\,\frac{dt}{t} \tag{4.6}$$

for all $f \in L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ satisfying (4.2). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{T}f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} &\leq \|\widetilde{T}f_1\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} + \|\widetilde{T}f_2\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}\\ &\leq C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w;2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}\\ &\quad + C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\int_{2r}^{\infty}\frac{\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}}{w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}\,\frac{dt}{t}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

On the other hand, by (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{p,w;2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} &\le C|\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)|\|f\|_{p,w;2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}\int_{2r}^{\infty}\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}\\
&\le C|\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)|\int_{2r}^{\infty}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}\\
&\le C[w]_{A_p}^{-\frac{1}{p}}w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\int_{2r}^{\infty}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\,\|w^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|_{p';\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}\\
&\le C[w]_{A_p}^{-\frac{1}{p}}w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\int_{2r}^{\infty}[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\frac{dt}{t}\\
&\le w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\int_{2r}^{\infty}w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\frac{dt}{t} \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

which unified with (4.7) gives (4.3). □

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $w\in A_p$, $p\in(1,\infty)$, the pair (φ_1,φ_2) satisfies the condition (3.4) for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n_+$ and (4.1) holds. Then if $\widetilde{T}$ is bounded in $L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, then it is bounded from $M_{p,\varphi_1}(\mathbb{R}^n_+,w)$ in $M_{p,\varphi_2}(\mathbb{R}^n_+,w)$ and*

$$\|\widetilde{T}f\|_{p,\varphi_2,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+}\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,\varphi_1,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \qquad (4.9)$$

with a constant independent of f.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\|\widetilde{T}f\|_{p,\varphi_2,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+}\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n_+,\,r>0}\varphi_2(x,r)^{-1}\int_r^{\infty}w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x))^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x)}\frac{dt}{t}\,.$$

Applying the Theorem 3.1 with

$$v_1(r)=\varphi_1(x,r)^{-1}w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x))^{-\frac{1}{p}},\qquad v_2(r)=\varphi_2(x,r)^{-1},$$

$$\psi(r)=w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x))^{-\frac{1}{p}}r^{-1},\qquad g(r)=\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x)}$$

to the above integral, we get as in [22]

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\widetilde{T}f\|_{p,\varphi_2,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} &\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n_+,r>0}\varphi_1(x,r)^{-1}\,w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x))^{-\frac{1}{p}}\,\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x)}\\
&= C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,\varphi_1,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. COMMUTATORS OF SUB-LINEAR OPERATORS GENERATED BY NONSINGULAR INTEGRALS IN $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$

For any $a \in BMO$ consider the commutator $\widetilde{T}_a f = a\widetilde{T} f - \widetilde{T}(af)$ where $\widetilde{T}$ is the nonsingular operator satisfying (4.1) and $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ with a compact support. Suppose that for $x \notin supp f$

$$|\widetilde{T}_a f(x)| \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} |a(x) - a(y)| \, \frac{|f(y)|}{|\tilde{x} - y|^n} \, dy, \tag{5.1}$$

where C is independent of f, a, and x.

Suppose in addition that $\widetilde{T}_a$ is bounded in $L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, $w \in A_p$, $p \in (1,\infty)$ satisfying the estimate $\|\widetilde{T}_a f\|_{p,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \le C\,[w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p} \|a\|_* \, \|f\|_{p,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+}$. Our aim is to show boundedness of $\widetilde{T}_a$ in $M_{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n_+, w)$.

To estimate the commutator we shall employ the same idea which we used in the proof of Lemma 4.1 (see [22] for details).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $w \in A_p$, $p \in (1,\infty)$, $a \in BMO$ and $\widetilde{T}_a$ be a bounded operator in $L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ satisfying (5.1) and the estimate $\|\widetilde{T}_a f\|_{p,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \le C[w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p}\|a\|_* \, \|f\|_{p,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+}$. Suppose that for all $f \in L^{\mathrm{loc}}_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and $r > 0$ applies the next condition*

$$\int_r^\infty \Big(1 + \ln \frac{t}{r}\Big) \frac{\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_t(x_0)}}{w(\mathcal{B}^+_t(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \, \frac{dt}{t} < \infty \,. \tag{5.2}$$

Then

$$\|\widetilde{T}_a f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0)} \le C[w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p}\|a\|_* \, w(\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_{2r}^\infty \Big(1+\ln \frac{t}{r}\Big) \frac{\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_t(x_0)}}{w(\mathcal{B}^+_t(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \, \frac{dt}{t} \,. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. The decomposition $f = f\chi_{2\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0)} + f\chi_{(2\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0))^c} = f_1 + f_2$ gives

$$\|\widetilde{T}_a f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0)} \le \|\widetilde{T}_a f_1\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0)} + \|\widetilde{T}_a f_2\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0)}.$$

From the boundedness of $\widetilde{T}_a$ in $L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ it follows

$$\|\widetilde{T}_a f_1\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0)} \le C[w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p} \, \|a\|_* \, \|f\|_{p,w;2\mathcal{B}^+_r(x_0)}.$$

On the other hand, because of (4.4) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\widetilde{T}_a f_2\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} \\
&\le C\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}\left(\int_{(2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^c}\frac{|a(y)-a_{\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}||f(y)|}{|x_0-y|^n}\,dy\right)^p w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac1p} \\
&+C\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}\left(\int_{(2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^c}\frac{|a(x)-a_{\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}||f(y)|}{|x_0-y|^n}\,dy\right)^p w(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac1p} \\
&= I_1+I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Where, as in [22], we have

$$I_1 \le Cw(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\int_{2r}^{\infty}\int_{\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}|a(y)-a_{\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}||f(y)|\,dy\,\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}}\,.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.1, (2.1) and (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\le Cw(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\int_{2r}^{\infty}\int_{\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}|a(y)-a_{\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}||f(y)|\,dy\,\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&+Cw(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\int_{2r}^{\infty}\int_{\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}|a_{\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}-a_{\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)}||f(y)|\,dy\,\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&\le C\,w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\int_{2r}^{\infty}\left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}|a(y)-a_{\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}|^{p'}w(y)^{1-p'}\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\qquad\qquad\times\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\,\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&+C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac1p}w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\|a\|_*\int_{2r}^{\infty}\ln\frac{t}{r}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t(x_0)}w(\mathcal{B}_t(x_0))^{-\frac1p}\frac{dt}{t} \\
&\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac1p}w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\|a\|_*\int_{2r}^{\infty}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{-\frac1p}\,\frac{dt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&+C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac1p}w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\|a\|_*\int_{2r}^{\infty}\ln\frac{t}{r}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{-\frac1p}\,\frac{dt}{t} \\
&\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac1p}w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\|a\|_*\int_{2r}^{\infty}\left(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\right)\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{-\frac1p}\,\frac{dt}{t}\,.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (4.5) we get

$$I_2 \le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac1p}\|a\|_*\,w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac1p}\int_{2r}^{\infty}w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{-\frac1p}\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}\,\frac{dt}{t}\,.$$

Summing up I_1 and I_2 we get that for all $p \in (1, \infty)$

(5.4)

$$\|\widetilde{T}_a f_2\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} \le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|a\|_* \, w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big) \frac{\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}}{w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \,\frac{dt}{t}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\widetilde{T}_a f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} &\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|a\|_* \Big(\|f\|_{p,w;2\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0)} \\ &\qquad + w(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_{2r}^{\infty} \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big) \frac{\|f\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0)}}{w(\mathcal{B}_t^+(x_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \,\frac{dt}{t} \Big),\end{aligned}$$

and the statement follows by (4.8). □

Theorem 5.1. *Let $w \in A_p$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, $a \in BMO$ and (φ_1, φ_2) be such that*

$$\int_r^{\infty} \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big) \frac{\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \varphi_1(x,s) w(\mathcal{B}_s(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{w(\mathcal{B}_t(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \,\frac{dt}{t} \le C\,\varphi_2(x,r)\,. \tag{5.5}$$

Suppose $\widetilde{T}_a$ is a sub-linear operator satisfying (5.1) *and bounded on $L_{p,w}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$. Then $\widetilde{T}_a$ is bounded from $M_{p,\varphi_1}(\mathbb{R}^n_+, w)$ to $M_{p,\varphi_2}(\mathbb{R}^n_+, w)$ and*

$$\|\widetilde{T}_a f\|_{p,\varphi_2,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|a\|_* \, \|f\|_{p,\varphi_1,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \tag{5.6}$$

with a constant independent of f and a.

The statement of the theorem follows by Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 3.1 in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 4.1.

6. CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND OPERATORS IN $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$

In the present section we deal with Calderón-Zygmund type integrals and their commutators with BMO functions. We start with the definition of the corresponding kernel.

Definition 6.1. *A measurable function $\mathcal{K}(x, \xi) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a variable Calderón-Zygmund kernel if:*

i) $\mathcal{K}(x, \cdot)$ is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$i_a)$ $\mathcal{K}(x,\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$,

$i_b)$ $\mathcal{K}(x,\mu\xi) = \mu^{-n}\mathcal{K}(x,\xi) \quad \forall \mu > 0$,

$i_c)$ $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \mathcal{K}(x,\xi)d\sigma_\xi = 0 \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\mathcal{K}(x,\xi)|d\sigma_\xi < +\infty$,

$ii)$ $\displaystyle\max_{|\beta|\le 2n} \left\|D_\xi^\beta \mathcal{K}\right\|_{\infty;\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} = M < \infty$.

The singular integrals

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{K}f(x) :=& P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(x,x-y)f(y)\,dy\\ \mathfrak{C}[a,f](x) :=& P.V.\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{K}(x,x-y)[a(x)-a(y)]f(y)\,dy\\ =& a\mathfrak{K}f(x) - \mathfrak{K}(af)(x)\end{aligned}$$

are bounded in $L_{p,w}$ (see [21] for more references) and satisfy (3.1) and (5.1). Hence the next results hold as a simple application of the estimates from Sections 3 and 4 (see [22] for details).

Theorem 6.1. *Let $w \in A_p$, $p \in (1,\infty)$ and φ be weight such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$*

$$\int_r^\infty \Big(1+\ln\frac{t}{r}\Big)\frac{\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t<s<\infty} \varphi(x,s)w(\mathcal{B}_s(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{w(\mathcal{B}_t(x))^{\frac{1}{p}}}\frac{dt}{t} \le C\,\varphi(x,r). \tag{6.1}$$

Then for any $f \in M_{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n,w)$ and $a \in BMO$ there exist constants depending on n, p, φ, w, and the kernel such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{K}f\|_{p,\varphi,w} &\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,\varphi,w}\,,\\ \|\mathfrak{C}[a,f]\|_{p,\varphi,w} &\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|a\|_*\|f\|_{p,\varphi,w}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

The assertion follows by (4.9) and (5.6).

Corollary 6.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\partial\Omega \in C^{1,1}$, $\mathcal{K} : \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be as in Definition 6.1, $a \in BMO(\Omega)$ and $f \in M_{p,\varphi}(\Omega,w)$ with p, φ, and w as in Theorem 6.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathfrak{K}f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega} &\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega}\,,\\ \|\mathfrak{C}[a,f]\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega} &\le C[w]_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|a\|_*\|f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega}\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

with $C = C(n, p, \varphi, [w]_{A_p}, |\Omega|, \mathcal{K})$.

Corollary 6.2. *(see* [6, 22]*) Let* p*,* φ*, and* w *be as in Theorem 6.1 and* $a \in VMO$ *with a* VMO*-modulus* γ_a*. Then for any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists a positive number* $\rho_0 = \rho_0(\varepsilon, \gamma_a)$ *such that for any ball* $\mathcal{B}_r$ *with a radius* $r \in (0, \rho_0)$ *and all* $f \in M_{p,\varphi}(\mathcal{B}_r, w)$

$$\|\mathfrak{C}[a, f]\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r} \le C\varepsilon \|f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r}, \tag{6.4}$$

with C *independent of* ε*,* f*, and* r*.*

For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ define the *generalized reflection* $\mathcal{T}(x; y)$

$$\mathcal{T}(x; y) = x - 2x_n \frac{\mathbf{a}^n(y)}{a^{nn}(y)} \qquad \mathcal{T}(x) = \mathcal{T}(x; x) : \mathbb{R}^n_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n_- \tag{6.5}$$

where $\mathbf{a}^n$ is the last row of the matrix $\mathbf{a} = \{a^{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$. Then there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 dependent on n and Λ, such that

$$C_1|\widetilde{x} - y| \le |\mathcal{T}(x) - y| \le C_2|\widetilde{x} - y| \qquad \forall\ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+. \tag{6.6}$$

Then the nonsingular integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}f(x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \mathcal{K}(x, \mathcal{T}(x) - y) f(y)\, dy \\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}[a, f](x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \mathcal{K}(x, \mathcal{T}(x) - y)[a(x) - a(y)] f(y)\, dy \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

are sub-linear and according to the results in Sections 4 and 5 we have.

Theorem 6.2. *Let* $a \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$*,* $w \in A_p$*,* $p \in (1, \infty)$ *and* φ *be Morrey weight satisfying* (6.1)*. Then* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}f$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}[a, f]$ *are continuous in* $M_{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n_+, w)$ *and for all* $f \in M_{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n_+, w)$ *holds*

$$\|\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \le C[w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p} \|f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \quad \|\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}[a, f]\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \le C[w]^{\frac{1}{p}}_{A_p} \|a\|_* \|f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathbb{R}^n_+} \tag{6.8}$$

with constants dependent on known quantities only.

Corollary 6.3. *(see* [6, 22]*) Let* p*,* φ *and* w *be as in Theorem 6.2 and* $a \in VMO$ *with a* VMO*-modulus* γ_a*. Then for any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists a* *positive number* $\rho_0 = \rho_0(\varepsilon, \gamma_a)$ *such that for any ball* $\mathcal{B}_r^+$ *with a radius* $r \in (0, \rho_0)$ *and all* $f \in M_{p,\varphi}(\mathcal{B}_r^+, w)$

$$\|\mathfrak{C}[a, f]\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+} \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r^+}, \tag{6.9}$$

where C *is independent of* ε, f *and* r.

7. The Dirichlet problem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$ be a bounded $C^{1,1}$-domain. We consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu = a^{ij}(x)D_{ij}u + b^i(x)D_iu + c(x)u = f(x) & \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega, \\ u \in \ W^2_{p,\varphi}(\Omega, w) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}{}^1_p(\Omega, w), \ p \in (1, \infty) \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

subject to the following conditions:

H_1) *Strong ellipticity:* there exists a constant $\Lambda > 0$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda^{-1}|\xi|^2 \leq a^{ij}(x)\xi_i\xi_j \leq \Lambda|\xi|^2 & \text{a.a. } x \in \Omega, \ \forall\, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ a^{ij}(x) = a^{ji}(x) & 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

Let $\mathbf{a} = \{a^{ij}\}$, then $\mathbf{a} \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ and $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty,\Omega} = \sum_{ij=1}^n \|a^{ij}\|_{\infty;\Omega}$ by (7.2).

H_2) *Regularity of the data:* $\mathbf{a} \in VMO(\Omega)$ with VMO-modulus $\gamma_\mathbf{a} := \sum \gamma_{a^{ij}}$, $b^i, c \in L_\infty(\Omega)$, and $f \in M_{p,\varphi}(\Omega, w)$ with $w \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $\varphi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ measurable.

Let $\mathcal{L} = a^{ij}(x)D_{ij}$, then $\mathcal{L}u = f(x) - b^i(x)D_iu(x) - c(x)u$. As it is well known (see [6, 22] and the references therein) for any $x \in \operatorname{supp} u$, a ball $\mathcal{B}_r \subset \Omega'$ and a function $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{B}_r)$ we have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij}v(x) = P.V.\int_{\mathcal{B}_r} \Gamma_{ij}(x, x-y)\left[\mathcal{L}v(y) + \big(a^{hk}(x) - a^{hk}(y)\big)D_{hk}v(y)\right] dy \\ + \mathcal{L}v(x)\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \Gamma_j(x, y)y_i d\sigma_y \\ = \mathfrak{K}_{ij}\mathcal{L}v(x) + \mathfrak{C}_{ij}[a^{hk}, D_{hk}v](x) + \mathcal{L}v(x)\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \Gamma_j(x; y)y_i d\sigma_y \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

According to Remark 2.1 the formula (7.3) holds true also for functions $v \in W^2_{p,w}(\mathcal{B}_r)$. Here $\Gamma_{ij}(x, \xi) = \partial^2\Gamma(x, \xi)/\partial\xi_i\partial\xi_j$ and Γ_{ij} are variable

Calderón-Zygmund kernels as in Definition 6.1 for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then the operators $\mathfrak{K}_{ij}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{ij}$ are singular as $\mathfrak{K}$ and $\mathfrak{C}$. In view of the results obtained in Section 6 we get for r small enough

$$\|D^2 v\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r} \leq C\left(\varepsilon \|D^2 v\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r} + \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r}\right).$$

Choosing r such that $C\varepsilon < 1$ we can move the norm of $D^2 v$ on the left-hand side and write

$$\|D^2 v\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r}. \tag{7.4}$$

Take a cut-off function $\eta(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{B}_r)$

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta r} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathcal{B}_{\theta' r} \end{cases}$$

such that $\theta' = \theta(3-\theta)/2 > \theta$ for $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $|D^s \eta| \leq C[\theta(1-\theta)r]^{-s}$ for $s = 0, 1, 2$. Apply (7.4) to $v(x) = \eta(x)u(x) \in W^2_{p,w}(\mathcal{B}_r)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^2 u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta r}} &\leq \|D^2 v\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}} \leq C \|\mathcal{L}v\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}} \\ &\leq C\left(\|\mathcal{L}u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}} + \frac{\|Du\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}}}{\theta(1-\theta)r} + \frac{\|u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}}}{[\theta(1-\theta)r]^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $1 < \frac{1}{\theta(1-\theta)r}$ for $r < 4$ and

$$\|\mathcal{L}u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}} \leq C\big(\|Lu\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}} + \|Du\|_{p,\varphi;w,\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}} + \|u\|_{p,\varphi;w,\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}}\big) \tag{7.5}$$

we can write

$$\|D^2 u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta r}} \leq C\left(\|Lu\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}} + \frac{\|Du\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}}}{\theta(1-\theta)r} + \frac{\|u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}}}{[\theta(1-\theta)r]^2}\right).$$

Consider now the weighted semi-norms

$$\Theta_s = \sup_{0<\theta<1} \big[\theta(1-\theta)r\big]^s \|D^s u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta r}} \qquad s = 0, 1, 2.$$

Because of the choice of θ' we have $\theta(1-\theta) \leq 2\theta'(1-\theta')$. Thus, after standard transformations and taking the supremum with respect to $\theta \in (0,1)$ we get

$$\Theta_2 \leq C\left(r^2 \|Lu\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta' r}} + \Theta_1 + \Theta_0\right). \tag{7.6}$$

Lemma 7.1 (Interpolation inequality)**.** *There exists a constant C independent of r such that*

$$\Theta_1 \le \varepsilon\Theta_2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\Theta_0 \qquad \textit{for any } \varepsilon \in (0,2).$$

Proof. For functions $u \in W^2_{p,w}(\mathcal{B}_r)$, $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $w \in A_p$ we dispose with the following interpolation inequality proved in [27]

$$\|Du\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r} \le C\left(\|u\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r} + \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r}\|D^2u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r}\right).$$

Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|Du\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r} \le C\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{2\epsilon}\right)\|u\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|D^2u\|_{p,w;\mathcal{B}_r}\right).$$

Choosing ϵ small enough, such that $\delta = \frac{C\epsilon}{2} < 1$, dividing all terms of $\varphi(x,r)w(\mathcal{B}_r)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and taking the supremum over $\mathcal{B}_r$ we get the desired interpolation inequality in $M_{p,\varphi}(w)$

$$\|Du\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r} \le \delta\|D^2u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r} + \frac{C}{\delta}\|u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r}. \tag{7.7}$$

We can always find some $\theta_0 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_1 &\le 2[\theta_0(1-\theta_0)r]\|Du\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta_0 r}}\\ &\le 2[\theta_0(1-\theta_0)r]\left(\delta\|D^2u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta_0 r}} + \frac{C}{\delta}\|u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{\theta_0 r}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows choosing $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}[\theta_0(1-\theta_0)r] < \theta_0 r$ for any $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$. □

Interpolating Θ_1 in (7.6) and taking $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ as in [22] we get the Caccioppoli-type estimate

$$\|D^2u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_{r/2}} \le C\big(\|Lu\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\|u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\mathcal{B}_r}\big).$$

Further, proceeding as in [22] and making use of (7.5) and (7.7) we get the following interior a priori estimate.

Theorem 7.1 (Interior estimate)**.** *Let $u \in W^{2,\mathrm{loc}}_{p,w}(\Omega)$ and L be a linear elliptic operator verifying H_1) and H_2) such that $Lu \in M^{\mathrm{loc}}_{p,\varphi}(\Omega,w)$ with*

$p\in(1,\infty)$, $w\in A_p$ and φ satisfying (6.1). *Then $D_{ij}u\in L_{p,\varphi}(\Omega',w)$ for any $\Omega'\subset\subset\Omega''\subset\subset\Omega$ and*

$$\|D^2u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega'}\le C\big(\|u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega''}+\|Lu\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega''}\big) \tag{7.8}$$

where the constant depends on known quantities and $\operatorname{dist}(\Omega',\partial\Omega'')$.

Let $x^0=(x',0)$ and denote by C^γ the space of functions $u\in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{B}_r(x^0))$ with $u=0$ for $x_n\le 0$. The space $W^{2,\gamma}_{p,w}(\mathcal{B}_r(x^0))$ is the closure of C^γ with respect to the norm of $W^2_{p,w}$. Then for any $v\in W^{2,\gamma}_{p,w}(\mathcal{B}_r^+(x^0))$ the next representation formula holds (see [7])

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij}v(x)=&\mathfrak{K}_{ij}\mathcal{L}v(x)+\mathfrak{C}_{ij}[a^{hk}D_{hk}v](x)\\ &+\mathcal{L}v(x)\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\Gamma_j(x,y)y_i d\sigma_y+I_{ij}(x)\quad\forall\, i,j=1,\dots,n,\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\begin{aligned} I_{ij}(x)&=\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{ij}\mathcal{L}v(x)+\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{ij}[a^{hk},D_{hk}v](x),\qquad\forall\, i,j=1,\dots,n-1,\\ I_{in}(x)&=I_{ni}(x)=\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{il}(D_n\mathcal{T}(x))^l\mathcal{L}v(x)+\mathfrak{C}_{il}[a^{hk},D_{hk}v](x)(D_n\mathcal{T}(x))^l\\ &\qquad\qquad\forall\, i=1,\dots,n-1,\\ I_{nn}(x)&=\widetilde{\mathfrak{K}}_{ls}(D_n\mathcal{T}(x))^l(D_n\mathcal{T}(x))^s\mathcal{L}v(x)\\ &\qquad+\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{ls}[a^{hk},D_{hk}v(x)](D_n\mathcal{T}(x))^l(D_n\mathcal{T}(x))^s\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_n\mathcal{T}(x)=\big((D_n\mathcal{T}(x))^1,\dots,(D_n\mathcal{T}(x))^n\big)=\mathcal{T}(e_n,x).$$

Applying the estimates (6.8) and (6.9), the interpolation inequality (7.7) and taking into account the VMO properties of the coefficients a^{ij}'s, it is possible to choose r_0 small enough such that

$$\|D_{ij}v\|_{p,\varphi;w,\mathcal{B}_r^+}\le C(\|Lv\|_{p,\varphi;w,\mathcal{B}_r^+}+\|u\|_{p,\varphi;w,\mathcal{B}_r^+}) \tag{7.9}$$

for all $r<r_0$ (see [22] for details). By local flattering of the boundary, covering with semi-balls, taking a partition of unity subordinated to

that covering and applying the estimate (7.9) we get a boundary a priori estimate that unified with (7.8) gives the next theorem.

Theorem 7.2 (Main result)**.** *Let* $u \in W^2_{p,\varphi}(\Omega, w) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}{}^1_p(\Omega, w)$ *be a solution of* (7.1) *under the conditions* H_1) *and* H_2)*. Then the next estimate holds for any* $w \in A_p$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ *and* φ *satisfying* (6.1)

$$\|D^2u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega} \le C\big(\|u\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega} + \|f\|_{p,\varphi,w;\Omega}\big) \tag{7.10}$$

and the constant C *depends on known quantities only.*

Let us note that the solution of (7.1) exists according to Remark 2.1. The a priori estimate follows as in [6, 7] making use of (7.5) and the interpolation inequality in weighted Lebesgue spaces [27].

Acknowledgments. The research of V. Guliyev and M. Omarova is partially supported by the grant of Science Development Foundation under the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan, project EIF-2013-9(15)-FT. The research of V. Guliyev is partially supported by the grant of Ahi Evran University Scientific Research Projects (PYO.FEN.4003-2.13.007).

L. Softova is a member of GNAMPA-INDAM. The present work has prepared during the visit of the third author at the Ahi Evran University for which she expresses her gratitude at the staff of the Department of Mathematics for the kind hospitality.

References

[1] P. Acquistapace, *On BMO regularity for linear elliptic systems*, Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl., Vol. 161 (1992), 231–270.

[2] Akbulut A, Guliyev V.S, Mustafayev R, *On the boundedness of the maximal operator and singular integral operators in generalized Morrey spaces*, Math. Bohem., Vol. 137 (1) 2012, 27-43.

[3] S.-S. Byun, L. Softova, Gradient estimates in generalized Morrey spaces for parabolic operators, Math. Nachr., (to appear).

[4] M. Carro, L. Pick, J. Soria, V.D. Stepanov, *On embeddings between classical Lorentz spaces*, Math. Inequal. Appl., Vol. 4 (3) (2001), 397–428.

[5] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, *Morrey spaces and Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*, Rend Mat.,Vol. 7 (1987), 273-279.

[6] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, P. Longo, *Interior $W^{2,p}$-estimates for nondivergence ellipic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Ricerche Mat., Vol. 40 (1991), 149-168.

[7] F. Chiarenza, M. Frasca, P. Longo, *$W^{2,p}$-solvability of Dirichlet problem for nondivergence ellipic equations with VMO coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 336 (1993), 841-853.

[8] R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, *Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math., Vol. 51 (1974) 241-250.

[9] G. Di Fazio, D.K. Palagachev, M.A. Ragusa, *Global Morrey regularity of strong solutions to the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients,* J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 166 (2) (1999), 179-196.

[10] L. Grafakos, "Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis", Pearson Education, Inc. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 2004.

[11] V.S. Guliyev, *Integral operators on function spaces on the homogeneous groups and on domains in* $\mathbb{R}^n$. Doctor's degree dissertation, Mat. Inst. Steklov, Moscow, 1994, 329 pp. (in Russian)

[12] V.S. Guliyev, *Function spaces, Integral Operators and Two Weighted Inequalities on Homogeneous Groups. Some Applications*, Casioglu, Baku, 1999, 332 pp. (in Russian)

[13] V.S. Guliyev, *Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized Morrey spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., (2009), Art. ID 503948, 20 pp.

[14] V.S. Guliyev, *Local generalized Morrey spaces and singular integrals with rough kernel*. Azerb. J. Math., Vol. 3 (2) (2013), 79-94.

[15] V.S. Guliyev, *Generalized local Morrey spaces and fractional integral operators with rough kernel*, J. Math. Sci., Vol. 193 (2) (2013), 211-227.

[16] V.S. Guliyev, *Generalized weighted Morrey spaces and higher order commutators of sublinear operators*, Eurasian Math. J., Vol. 3 (3) (2012), 33-61.

[17] V.S. Guliyev, S.G. Samko, *Maximal, potential, and singular operators in the generalized variable exponent Morrey spaces on unbounded sets*, J. Math. Sci., Vol. 193 (2) (2013), 228-248.

[18] V.S. Guliyev, J.J. Hasanov, S.G. Samko, *Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized variable exponent Morrey spaces*, Math. Scand., Vol. 107 (2) (2010), 285-304.

[19] V.S. Guliyev, S.S. Aliyev, T. Karaman, P. S. Shukurov, *Boundedness of sublinear operators and commutators on generalized Morrey Space*, Int. Eq. Op. Theory., Vol. 71 (3) (2011), 327-355.

[20] V.S. Guliyev, T. Karaman, R.Ch. Mustafayev, A. Serbetci, *Commutators of sublinear operators generated by Calderón-Zygmund operator on generalized weighted Morrey spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J., Vol. 64 (2) (139) (2014), 365-386.

[21] V.S. Guliyev, T. Karaman, A. Serbetci, *Boundedness of sublinear operators generated by Calderon-Zygmund operators on generalized weighted Morrey spaces*, Scientific Annals of "Al.I. Cuza" University of Iasi, vol. LX, 2014, f.1, 227-244. DOI: 10.2478/aicu-2013-0009

[22] V.S. Guliyev, L. Softova, *Global regularity in generalized Morrey spaces of solutions to nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Potential Anal., Vol. 38 (4) (2013), 843-862.

[23] V.S. Guliyev, L. Softova, *Generalized Morrey regularity for parabolic equations with discontinuity data*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., Vol. 58 (1) (2015), 199-218.

[24] V.S. Guliyev, L. Softova, *Generalized Morrey estimates for the gradient of divergence form parabolic operators with discontinuous coefficients*, Preprint.

[25] V.S. Guliyev, M.N. Omarova, *Multilinear commutators of vector-valued intrinsic square functions on vector-valued generalized weighted Morrey spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl., (2014), 2014:258. DOI: 10.1186/1029-242X-2014-258

[26] F.John, L.Nirenberg, *On functions of bounded mean oscillation* . Comm. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 14 (1961), 415-426.

[27] A. Kalamajska, *Coercive inequalities on weighted Sobolev spaces*, Colloq. Math., Vol. 66 (2) (1994), 309-318.

[28] Y. Komori and S. Shirai, *Weighted Morrey spaces and a singular integral operator*, Math. Nachr., Vol. 282 (2) (2009) 219-231.

[29] S. Lu, Y. Ding, D. Yan, "Singular Iintegrals and Related Topics", World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 2006.

[30] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 165 (1972) 207-226.

[31] B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 192 (1974) 261-274.

[32] B. Muckenhoupt, R. Wheeden, Weighted bounded mean oscillation and the Hilbert transform, Studia Math., Vol. 54 (1976) 221-237.

[33] T. Mizuhara, *Boundedness of some classical operators on generalized Morrey spaces*, Harmonic Anal., Proc. Conf., Sendai/Jap. 1990, ICM-90 Satell. Conf. Proc., 183-189 (1991).

[34] C.B. Morrey, *On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 43 (1938), 126-166.

[35] E. Nakai, *Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces*, Math. Nachr., Vol. 166 (1994), 95-103.

[36] D. Palagachev, L. Softova, *Fine regularity for elliptic systems with discontinuous ingredients,* J. Arch. Math., Vol. 86 (2) (2006), 145-153.

[37] D. Sarason, *On functions of vanishes mean oscillation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 207 (1975), 391-405.

[38] S.L. Sobolev, "Cubature Formulas and Modern Analysis: An Introduction", Translated from the Russian, Montreux: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1992.

[39] L.G. Softova, *Singular integrals and commutators in generalized Morrey spaces*, Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser., Vol. 22 (2006), 757–766.

[40] L.G. Softova, *The Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations with VMO coefficients in generalized Morrey spaces*, in: Adv.in Harmonic Analysis and Operator Theory, 229, 365–380, 2013 Springer Basel AG.

Ahi Evran University, Department of Mathematics, Kirsehir, Turkey
E-mail address: `vagif@guliyev.com`

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, Baku
E-mail address: `mehriban_omarova@yahoo.com`

Department of Civil Engineering, Design, Construction and Environment, Second University of Naples, Italy
E-mail address: `luba.softova@unina2.it`